Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,192	WATSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SON P. HUYNH	2424	

	SON F. HUTINH	2424			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 10 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ex					
Extensions of time may be obtained unioner 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext unider 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appear Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further core.			cause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	v);				
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	, , ,		ne issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s). Note the proposed amendment(s): a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not entered because the affidavit or other evidence falled to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant falls to proshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CF4 4.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note: the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:					
	/Son P Huynh/				
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2424			
	a.y Enaminor, rat o				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to the claims such as "using a hardware device" in claim 1 and/or "terrestrially" in claims 33, 172 would require further consideration and/or search.

Applicant argues in Ellis, the television equipment does not receive the movie data including a video file, a plurality of audio files each in a different language, and Ellis therefore. Ellis does not or cannot perform discarding one or more the plurality of audio files other than the desired one or more audio files since Ellis does not even receive a plurality of audio files each in a different language. In fact, Ellis teaches away from the invention since Ellis discloses the television distribution facility may response by providing the requested television program to the user's television equipment with only a single audio track containing audio in the selected language. Elnating unnecessary audio tracks may lessen the bandwidth requirement for transmitting programming signal from the television distribution facility to a user's television equipment (pages 7-3b. This argument is respectfully traversed.

It is noted that Ellis does not disclose the television distribution facility is not part of 'hardware device' in the claim. In pact, Ellis discloses interactive program guide television 17 comprises television distribution facility and user television interface (see include, but not limited to, figures 2a-2b, col. 5. line 46-tol. 6, line 40). Thus, the "hardware device" in the claim could be interpreted as interactive television program guide television 17. In this case, Ellis discloses the interactive program guide television 17 receives movie data including video file and a plurality audio (lies/tracks each in a different language (see for example, col. 3, lines 42-57 as pointed out by flighciation).

In case the "hardware device" in the claim is interpreted as the user television equipment only, Ellis' disclosure of user television equipment still reads on the claims.

Col. 3, lines 42-57 (pointed out by Applicant) is only one embodiment of the inventions. In fact, Ellis discloses user television equipment receives movie data/video program, the movie data/video program including video files and a plurality of audit les each in a different language. The language is filtered locally at the user television equipment using packet filter and/or digital component selector and/or audio component selector and/or program guide - see include, but not limited to, figures 3-4, 7a-7b, col. 4, lines 42-44, col. 9, lines 4-9-0, col. 12, lines 11-20, 36-62, col. 14, lines 11-20, 36-65, col. 14, lines 10-36, 56-56, col. 18, lines 10-30, 167, col. 14, lines 3-9-50, col. 14, lines 11-20, 36-62, col. 14, lines 11-20, 36-62, col. 14, lines 3-9-30, col. 15, lines 3-9-30, col. 15, lines 3-9-30, col. 14, lines 3-9-30, col. 15, lines 3-9-30, col. 15, lines 3-9-30, col. 14, lines 3-9-30, col. 15, lines 3-9-30, col. 15,

For the reasons given above, rejections on the claims are sustained.

2